

MI

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/910,161	07/20/2001	Brian D. Thompson	PD-201093	4305
. 20991 THE DIRECT\	7590 03/07/2001 GROUP INC	EXAMINER		
PATENT DOC	KET ADMINISTRATI	SALTARELLI, DOMINIC D		
P O BOX 956 EL SEGUNDO, CA 90245-0956			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2623	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 03/0'		03/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/910,161	THOMPSON, BRIAN D.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Dominic D. Saltarelli	2623			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 D	ecember 2006				
2a)⊠						
3)□						
باره	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims	, ,				
		in the application				
•	Claim(s) <u>1-17,19-35 and 37-49</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Will Holli Golfsideration.				
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> , <u>14-17</u> , <u>19-30</u> , <u>32-35</u> and <u>37-48</u> i	s/are rejected				
7)🖾	Claim(s) <u>13,31 and 49</u> is/are objected to.	5, a. 6 16 je 5. 5 d.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers	! *				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•			
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119	* * * ·				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C & 119/s	a)-(d) or (f)			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority direct 55 5.5.5. § 115(c	, (a) 5. (.).			
a),	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		tion No.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
	application from the International Bureau	•				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
A44- 1	w	• •	•			
Attachmen			v (PTO 413)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed December 20, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

First, applicant argues that the Amano reference does not teach the recording of viewing time during a "channel surfing" period, as suggested by the claims, stating that Amano does not teach "determining a duration of a time period during which each channel in the plurality of channels is tuned by the series of commands" (applicant's remarks, pages 17-18).

In response, Amano teaches determining a duration of a time period during which a channel is tuned (col. 3, lines 3-40), including successive operation of the F key to sequentially tune through each channel in an ordered list of channels (col. 4, lines 13-20). The Amano reference explicitly meets each claimed limitation, including the alleged "channel surfing" functionality argued by applicant.

Second, applicant argues that the present invention does not update the prioritization list until every channel in the plurality of channels have been tuned to, as opposed to Amano which updates the list after every tuning command (applicant's remarks, page 19).

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant

Art Unit: 2623

relies (i.e., prioritization list is not updated until every channel in the plurality of channels have been tuned to) are not recited in the rejected claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Claim 4 states the ordered schedule of channels is reordered after each command of the series of commands, which is in direct contradiction to applicant's arguments to the contrary. Claim 5 states the ordered schedule of channels is reordered after all of the channels of the schedule of channels has been tuned, which does not exclude reordering the list after each tuning command, as described in the rejections regarding claim 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 8-9, 15, 16, 19-23, 26-27, 34, 37-41, and 44-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Amano et al. (5,323,240, of record) [Amano].

Regarding Claim 1, Amano discloses a method (figure 3) of computing a schedule of channels, comprising the steps of: accepting channel surfing

Art Unit: 2623

commands having a series of commands to tune a plurality of channels sequentially from an ordered schedule of channels (F10 – figure 3; Col. 3, lines 12-17 and col. 4, lines 13-20, where sequential selection of the 'F' key cycles a user through an ordered schedule of channels). Amano discloses the process for storing a tuning frequency begins when a user manipulates a channel key, which typically is a channel up/down key that allows a user to channel surf through a schedule of channels.

Amano teaches, determining a duration of a time period during which each channel is tuned by the series of commands (F12 – figure 3; Col. 3, lines 19-21). Amano further discloses the process of counting the duration of time at which a channel is tuned is repeated every manipulation of a channel key (Col. 3, lines 36-38).

Amano teaches, prioritizing the schedule of channels according to the duration of the time period during which each channel is tuned by the series of commands (F16 – figure 3; Col. 3, lines 30-31). Amano discloses sort circuit 9c in figure 2, is used to sort the channels by rank in ascending order, where the highest rank channel is the channel viewed for the longest duration of time (Col. 2, lines 52-61). Amano further discloses rankings of all channels may be stored in memory, therefore creating a prioritized schedule of channels in ascending order according to rank (Col. 3, lines 34-35).

Art Unit: 2623

As for Claim 2, Amano teaches, the step of determining a duration of a time period during which each channel is tuned comprises the step of determining a duration of a time period between each of the series of commands (F12-F14 – figure 3; Col. 3, lines 19-26). Amano discloses the counter begins when a user first manipulates a channel key, such as channel up/down, and the counter ends when a channel key input is sensed.

Amano teaches, the step of prioritizing the schedule of channels according to a duration of a time period during which each channel is tuned comprises the step of prioritizing the channels according to a duration of a time period between each of the series of commands (F15-F17 – figure 3; Col. 3, lines 26-32). Amano disclose in between each channel key input, a grade is given to the channel that is based on the duration at which the user tuned the channel. The sort circuit 9c then re-sorts the list based on the new grade for the channel and stores the new list in memory. Amano teaches this process is repeated after every manipulation of a channel key (Col. 3, lines 36-38).

As for Claim 3, Amano teaches, reordering the ordered schedule of channels according to the duration of the time period between each of the series of commands (F16 – figure 3; Col. 3, lines 30-31). Amano discloses sort circuit 9c in figure 2, is used to reorder the channels by rank in ascending order, where the highest rank channel is the channel viewed for the longest duration of time (Col. 2, lines 52-61). Amano further discloses rankings of all channels may be

Art Unit: 2623

stored in memory, therefore creating a reordered schedule of channels in ascending order according to duration of time (Col. 3, lines 34-35).

As for Claim 4, Amano teaches, the ordered schedule of channels is reordered after each command of the series of commands (F16 – figure 3; Col. 3, lines 30-40). Amano discloses the steps of F10-F17 in figure 3 are repeated after every manipulation of the channel key.

As for Claim 5, Amano teaches, the ordered schedule of channels is reordered after all of the channels of the schedule of channels has been tuned (F16-F17 – figure 3; Col. 3, lines 30-40). Amano further discloses the rankings of all channels may be stored in the memory, so after the user has tuned to the last channel, the ordered schedule of channels have then been reordered.

As for Claim 8, Amano teaches, reordering the reordered schedule of channels in sequential order by disclosing a user can begin by manipulating the F key on the remote control to successively select broadcast stations in the order from a higher grade or "higher ranking" of tuning frequency to a lower grader or "lower ranking" of tuning frequency (Col. 4, lines 10-13), which instructs CPU 9 to reorder the schedule of channels. The reordered schedule of channels can then be reordered in sequential order by using the channel key, such as channel up or down, to sequentially step through each channel in order.

Art Unit: 2623

As for Claim 9, Amano teaches, reordering the ordered schedule of channels in sequential order is performed in response to a user command by disclosing a user can begin by manipulating the F key on the remote control to successively select broadcast stations in the order from a higher grade or "higher ranking" of tuning frequency to a lower grader or "lower ranking" of tuning frequency (Col. 4, lines 10-13), which instructs CPU 9 to reorder the schedule of channels. The user can command reordering the reordered schedule of channels by using the channel key, such as channel up or down, to reorder the reordered schedule of channels in sequential order.

As for Claim 15, Amano teaches, wherein the ordered schedule of channels is a subset of all available channels (Col. 3, lines 30-33). Amano discloses a subset of 10 channels may be sorted and stored in memory rather than all of the channels.

Regarding claim 16, Amano discloses a method (figure 3) of computing a schedule of channels comprising the steps of: accepting data indicative of user interest in media programs transmitted on a plurality of channels by disclosing reference numeral 7 represents an infrared-rays detector which is provided in correspondence to the remote commander (Col. 2, lines 41-44). A user can

Art Unit: 2623

indicate interest in media programs by using remote commander and transmitting commands to the television receiver shown in figure 1.

Amano teaches, accepting channel surfing commands having a series of commands to tune a plurality of channels sequentially from schedule of channels (F10 – figure 3; Col. 3, lines 12-17 and col. 4, lines 13-20, where sequential selection of the 'F' key cycles a user through an ordered schedule of channels). Amano discloses the process for storing a tuning frequency begins when a user manipulates a channel key, which typically is a channel up/down key that allows a user to channel surf through a schedule of channels.

Amano teaches, determining a duration of a time period during which each channel is tuned by the series of commands (F12 – figure 3; Col. 3, lines 19-21). Amano further discloses the process of counting the duration of time at which a channel is tuned is repeated every manipulation of a channel key (Col. 3, lines 36-38).

Amano teaches, prioritizing the schedule of channels having a at least a subset of the plurality of channels according to the user interest in the media programs and the duration of the time period during which each channel is tuned by the series of commands (F16 – figure 3; Col. 3, lines 30-31). Amano discloses sort circuit 9c in figure 2, is used to sort the channels by rank in ascending order, where the highest rank channel is the channel viewed for the longest duration of time (Col. 2, lines 52-61).

Art Unit: 2623

Amano further discloses rankings of the top 10 or "subset" channels may be stored in memory, therefore creating a prioritized schedule of channels in ascending order according to rank (Col. 3, lines 31-35).

Regarding Claim 19, Amano teaches an apparatus (figure 1) for computing a schedule of channels (Col. 1, lines 52-59), comprising: means for accepting channel surfing commands (7 – figure 1) having a series of commands to tune a plurality of channels sequentially from an ordered schedule of channels (Col. 2, lines 41-44).

Amano discloses a viewer can use remote commander (shown in figure 1) to input up/down channel requests to television receiver (shown in figure 1), which allows a user to channel surf through a schedule of channels (col. 4, lines 13-20, where sequential selection of the 'F' key cycles a user through an ordered schedule of channels).

Amano teaches, means for determining a duration of a time period (9a – figure 2) during which each channel is tuned by the series of commands (Col. 2, lines 52-54).

Amano teaches, means for prioritizing the schedule of channels (9c – figure 2) according to the duration of the time period during which each channel is tuned by the series of commands (Col. 2, lines 52-59).

Art Unit: 2623

As for Claim 20, Amano teaches, means for determining a duration of a time period (9a – figure 2) during which each channel is tuned comprises means for determining a duration of a time period between each of the series of commands (F12-F14 – figure 3; Col. 3, lines 19-26). Amano discloses the counter begins when a user first manipulates a channel key, such as channel up/down, and the counter ends when a channel key input is sensed.

Amano further discloses, means for prioritizing the schedule of channels (9c – figure 2) according to a duration of a time period during which each channel is tuned comprises means for prioritizing the channels according to a duration of a time period between each of the series of commands (F15-F17 – figure 3; Col. 3, lines 26-32). Amano disclose in between each channel key input, a grade is given to the channel that is based on the duration at which the user tuned the channel. The sort circuit 9c then re-sorts the list based on the new grade for the channel and stores the new list in memory. Amano teaches this process is repeated after every manipulation of a channel key (Col. 3, lines 36-38).

As for Claim 21, Amano teaches, means for reordering (9c – figure 2) the ordered schedule of channels according to the duration of the time period between each of the series of commands (F16 – figure 3; Col. 3, lines 30-31). Amano discloses sort circuit 9c in figure 2, is used to reorder the channels by rank in ascending order, where the highest rank channel is the channel viewed for the longest duration of time (Col. 2, lines 52-61). Amano further discloses

Art Unit: 2623

rankings of all channels may be stored in memory, therefore creating a reordered schedule of channels in ascending order according to duration of time (Col. 3, lines 34-35).

Considering Claim 22, the claimed elements of the ordered schedule of channels is reordered after each command of the series of commands, corresponds with subject matter mentioned above in the rejection of claim 4, and is likewise treated.

Considering Claim 23, the claimed elements of the schedule of channels is reordered after all of the channels of the schedule of channels has been tuned, corresponds with subject matter mentioned above in the rejection of claim 5, and is likewise treated.

Considering Claim 26, the claimed elements means for reordering the reordered schedule of channels in sequential order, corresponds with subject matter mentioned above in the rejection of claim 8, and is likewise treated.

Considering Claim 27, the claimed elements means for reordering the ordered schedule of channels in sequential order is performed in response to a user command, corresponds with subject matter mentioned above in the rejection of claim 9, and is likewise treated.

Art Unit: 2623

Regarding Claim 34, Amano discloses an apparatus (figure 1) of computing a schedule of channels comprising: means for accepting data (7 – figure 1) indicative of user interest in media programs transmitted on a plurality of channels by disclosing reference numeral 7 represents an infrared-rays detector which is provided in correspondence to the remote commander (Col. 2, lines 41-44). A user can indicate interest in media programs by using remote commander and transmitting commands to the television receiver shown in figure 1.

Amano teaches, means for accepting (figure 1) channel surfing commands having a series of commands to tune a plurality of channels sequentially from schedule of channels (F10 – figure 3; Col. 3, lines 12-17 and col. 4, lines 13-20, where sequential selection of the 'F' key cycles a user through an ordered schedule of channels). Amano discloses the process for storing a tuning frequency begins when a user manipulates a channel key, which typically is a channel up/down key that allows a user to channel surf through a schedule of channels.

Amano teaches, means for determining (9a – figure 2) a duration of a time period during which each channel is tuned by the series of commands (F12 – figure 3; Col. 3, lines 19-21). Amano further discloses time counting circuit 9a is used to execute the process of counting the duration of time at which a channel is tuned is repeated every manipulation of a channel key (Col. 3, lines 36-38).

Art Unit: 2623

Amano teaches, means for prioritizing (9c – figure 1) the schedule of channels having a at least a subset of the plurality of channels according to the user interest in the media programs and the duration of the time period during which each channel is tuned by the series of commands (F16 – figure 3; Col. 3, lines 30-31). Amano discloses sort circuit 9c in figure 2, is used to sort the channels by rank in ascending order, where the highest rank channel is the channel viewed for the longest duration of time (Col. 2, lines 52-61). Amano further discloses rankings of the top 10 or "subset" channels may be stored in memory, therefore creating a prioritized schedule of channels in ascending order according to rank (Col. 3, lines 31-35).

Regarding Claim 37, Amano discloses an apparatus (figure 1) for computing a schedule of channels, comprising: a user interface (7 – figure 1) for accepting channel surfing commands having a series of commands to tune a plurality of channels sequentially from an ordered schedule of channels (Col. 2, lines 41-44 and col. 4, lines 13-20, where sequential selection of the 'F' key cycles a user through an ordered schedule of channels). Amano further discloses a user can manipulate the channel up/down keys on remote commander (shown in figure 1), which allows a user to channel surf through a schedule of channels.

Amano teaches, a processor (9 – figure 1), communicatively coupled to a memory (9d – figure 2), the processor implementing a timer for determining a duration of a time period during which each channel is tuned (9a – figure 2; Col.

Art Unit: 2623

2, lines 52-54) and prioritizing the schedule of channels according to the duration of the time period during which each channel is tuned by the series of commands (9c – figure 2; Col. 2, lines 52-59).

As for Claim 38, Amano teaches, the processor (9 – figure 1) determines a duration of a time period during which each channel is tuned (9a – figure 1) (F12-F14 – figure 3; Col. 3, lines 19-26). Amano discloses the counter begins when a user first manipulates a channel key, such as channel up/down, and the counter ends when a channel key input is sensed.

Amano further teaches, the processor prioritizes the schedule of channels (9c – figure 2) according to a duration of a time period during which each channel is tuned by prioritizing the channels according to a duration of a time period between each of the series of commands (F15-F17 – figure 3; Col. 3, lines 26-32). Amano disclose in between each channel key input, a grade is given to the channel that is based on the duration at which the user tuned the channel. The sort circuit 9c then re-sorts the list based on the new grade for the channel and stores the new list in memory. Amano teaches this process is repeated after every manipulation of a channel key (Col. 3, lines 36-38).

As for Claimed 39, Amano teaches, the processor (9 – figure 1) prioritizes the schedule of channels according to a duration of a time period during which each channel is tuned by reordering the ordered schedule of channels according

Art Unit: 2623

to the duration of the time period between each of the series of commands (F16 – figure 3; Col. 3, lines 30-31). Amano discloses sort circuit 9c in figure 2, is used to reorder the channels by rank in ascending order, where the highest rank channel is the channel viewed for the longest duration of time (Col. 2, lines 52-61). Amano further discloses rankings of all channels may be stored in memory, therefore creating a reordered schedule of channels in ascending order according to duration of time (Col. 3, lines 34-35).

Considering claim 40, the claimed elements of wherein the ordered schedule of channels is reordered after each command of the series of commands, corresponds with subject matter mentioned above in the rejection of claim 4, and is likewise treated.

Considering Claim 41, the claimed elements of wherein the ordered schedule of channels is reordered after all of the channels of the schedule of channels has been tuned, corresponds with subject matter mentioned above in the rejection of claim 5, and is likewise treated.

As for Claim 44, the claimed "the processor further reorders the schedule of channels in sequential order" is rejected based on similar grounds as the rejection of claim 8.

Art Unit: 2623

As for Claim 45, the claimed "the processor reorders the schedule of channels in sequential order in response to a user command" is rejected based on similar grounds as the rejection of claim 9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 11 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amano in view of Bedard (5,801,747, of record).

Referring to claim 11, depending on claim 1, Amano fails to teach determining which of the time periods exceeds a threshold time period and segmenting the channels into a first segment having channels associated with a time period exceeding the threshold time period and a second segment having channels associated with a time period not exceeding the threshold time period.

In an analogous art Bedard teaches determining which of the time periods exceeds a threshold time period (Column 3 lines 63-67 and Column 4 lines 1-15)

segmenting the channels into a first segment having channels associated with a time period exceeding the threshold time period and a second segment

Art Unit: 2623

having channels associated with a time period not exceeding the threshold time period (Column 3 lines 63-67 and Column 4 lines 1-15).

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to modify the program ordering based on viewing time function/device of Amano using the segmenting by time duration function/device of Bedard for the purpose of ignoring insignificant viewing periods so as to focus upon viewer's true interests (Column 4 lines 1-3, Bedard).

Referring to claim 47, depending on claim 37, see the rejection of claim 11.

6. Claims 12 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amano in view of Bedard as applied to claims 11 and 47 above, and further in view of Candelore et al. (2002/0104081 A1) [Candelore].

Referring to claim 12, depending on claim 11, Amano and Badard fail to teach ordering the channels in the first segment according to the duration of the time period associated with each channel; and ordering the channels in the second segment according to the duration of the time period associated with each channel.

In an analogous art Candelore teaches ordering the channels in the first segment according to the duration of the time period associated with each

Art Unit: 2623

channel (Paragraph [0046] and [0047] teaches the list of programs in the first segment/favorite list are ordered according to the amount time watched); and

ordering the channels in the second segment according to the duration of the time period associated with each channel (Paragraph [0045] and [0046] teaches ordering the programs in the second segment/stat table 406 by how much the program was watched).

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to modify the combined references of Amano and Bedard using the channel ordering function/device of Candelore for the purpose of more efficiently navigating through his/her favorite channels while skipping channels that are not often viewed.

Referring to claim 48, depending on claim 47, see the rejection of claim 12.

7. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amano in view of Wugofski (2003/0056216, of record) [Wugofski '216].

Regarding Claim 14, Amano fails to teach de-prioritizing a selected channel in the schedule of channels in response to a user input.

In an analogous art, Wugofski '216 teaches favorites services provides favorites list management functions, and also a set of common user interfaces for selecting a favorite item from a list, adding an item to a favorite list, and removing an item from a favorite list. (page 3, ¶ 31).

Art Unit: 2623

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Amano with the teachings of Wugofski '216 in order to allow a user to de-prioritize a selected channel in the schedule of channels for the purpose of providing added flexibility to the user in managing lists of favorite channels.

8. Claims 17 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amano (U.S. 5,323,240) in view of Wugofski (WO 99/35843, of record) [Wugofski '843].

Regarding Claim 17, Amano fails to teach wherein the data indicative of the user interest in the media program is selected from a group comprising: a list having at least one uniform resource locator.

In an analogous art, Wugofski '843 teaches a list (figure 5B) having at least one uniform resource locator by disclosing a favorite channel list which comprises both television channels and Internet channels as shown in figure 5B (page 13, lines 4-19).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Amano with the teachings of Wugofski '843 in order to combine a URL with the list of favorite channels. One would have been motivated to make this modification in order to facilitate a user viewing multiple channel and Internet favorite lists into a single list.

Art Unit: 2623

Considering Claim 35, the claimed elements of wherein the data indicative of the user interest in the media program is selected from a group comprising: a list having at least one uniform resource locator, corresponds with subject matter mentioned above in the rejection of claim 17, and is likewise treated.

9. Claims 7, 25, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amano in view of Trovato et al. (6,445,306, of record) [Trovato].

Referring to claim 7, depending on claim 3, Amano fails to teach weighting at least a portion of the time periods according to a time difference between a current time and a time when each channel associated with each time period was last tuned.

In an analogous art, Trovato teaches teach weighting at least a portion of the time periods according to a time difference between a current time and a time when each channel associated with each time period was last tuned (Column 7 lines 28-36 teaches how the time since a user last viewed a program can effect it weighting and ultimately its location in a program list).

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to modify the program ordering based on viewing time function/device of Amano using the program weighting based on time periods function/device of Trovato for the purpose of building an enhanced and improved list based upon expressed and implied preferences that are determined, for

Art Unit: 2623

example, by a history of the user's past selections and/or past rejections (Column 2 lines 58-63, Trovato).

Referring to claim 25, depending on claim 21, see the rejection of claim 7.

Referring to claim 43, depending on claim 39, see the rejection of claim 7 (Column 7 lines 16-20 teach a computer which has a processor).

10. Claims 6, 24, 33, and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amano in view of White (2002/0056098, of record).

Referring to claim 6, depending on claim 3, Amano fails to teach the ordered schedule of channels is further ordered according to a time elapsed since the channel was last tuned.

In an analogous art White teaches ordered schedule of channels is further ordered according to a time elapsed since the channel was last tuned (Figure 8 and Paragraph [0054]).

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to modify the program ordering based on viewing time function/device of Amano using the ordering by last tuned function/device of White for the purpose of easy selection by the user (Paragraph [0004], White).

Art Unit: 2623

Referring to 24, depending on claim 21, the claimed "ordered schedule of channels is further ordered according a time elapsed since the channel was last tuned" is rejected based on similar grounds as the rejection of Claim 6.

Referring to claim 33, depending on claim 24, White teaches wherein the ordered schedule of channels is a subset of all available channels (Figure 8 and Paragraph [0054] are ordered and each screen displays what is currently schedule on the respective channels).

Referring to claim 42, depending on claim 39, the claimed elements of wherein the ordered schedule of channels is further ordered according a time elapsed since the channel was last tuned, corresponds with subject matter mentioned above in the rejection of claim 6, and is likewise treated.

11. Claims 10, 28, and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amano in view of Bonomi et al. (6,769,127) [Bonomi].

Referring to claim 10, depending on claim 8, Amano fails to teach each of the channels in the schedule of channels is associated with a media program; and the step of reordering schedule of channels in sequential order is performed at a time associated with a change in a threshold number of the media programs associated with the channels in the schedule of channels.

Art Unit: 2623

In an analogous art Bonomi'teaches each of the channels in the schedule of channels is associated with a media program (Figure 15C element 1540); and the step of reordering schedule of channels in sequential order is performed at a time associated with a change in a threshold number of the media programs associated with the channels in the schedule of channels (Column 18 lines 53-67 and Column 19 lines 1-14 and Column 34 lines 35-41).

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to modify the program ordering based on viewing time function/device of Amano using the reordering of the program guide when it updated function/device of Bonomi for the purpose of providing a program guide to better suit a viewers habits or preferences (Column 34 lines 39-41, Bonomi).

Referring to claim 28, depending on claim 26, see the rejection of claim 10.

Referring to claim 46, depending on claim 44, see the rejection of claim 10.

12. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amano in view of White as applied to claim 24 above, and further in view of Bedard.

Referring to claim 29, depending on claim 24, Amano and White fail to teach determining which of the time periods exceeds a threshold time period and segmenting the channels into a first segment having channels associated with a

Art Unit: 2623

time period exceeding the threshold time period and a second segment having channels associated with a time period not exceeding the threshold time period.

In an analogous art Bedard teaches determining which of the time periods exceeds a threshold time period (Column 3 lines 63-67 and Column 4 lines 1-15) segmenting the channels into a first segment having channels associated with a time period exceeding the threshold time period and a second segment having channels associated with a time period not exceeding the threshold time period (Column 3 lines 63-67 and Column 4 lines 1-15).

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to modify the combined functions/devices of Amano and White using the segmenting by time duration function/device of Bedard for the purpose of ignoring insignificant viewing periods so as to focus upon viewer's true interests (Column 4 lines 1-3, Bedard).

13. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amano, White, and Bedard as applied to claim 29 above, and further in view of Candelore.

Referring to claim 30, depending on claim 29, Amano, White, and Bedard fail to teach ordering the channels in the first segment according to the duration of the time period associated with each channel; and ordering the channels in the second segment according to the duration of the time period associated with each channel.

Art Unit: 2623

In an analogous art Candelore teaches ordering the channels in the first segment according to the duration of the time period associated with each channel (Paragraph [0046] and [0047] teaches the list of programs in the first segment/favorite list are ordered according to the amount time watched); and ordering the channels in the second segment according to the duration of the time period associated with each channel (Paragraph [0045] and [0046] teaches ordering the programs in the second segment/stat table 406 by how much the program was watched).

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to modify the combined references of Amano, White, and Bedard using the channel ordering function/device of Candelore for the purpose of more efficiently navigating through his/her favorite channels while skipping channels that are not often viewed.

14. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amano in view of White as applied to claim 24 above, and further in view of Wugofski (2003/0056216, of record) [Wugofski '216].

As to Claim 32, the combination of Amano and Bedard fail to teach means for de-prioritizing a selected channel in the schedule of channels in response to a user input.

In an analogous art, Wugofski '216 teaches means (8 – figure 2) for deprioritizing a selected channel in the schedule of channels in response to a user

Art Unit: 2623

input by disclosing favorites services 8 provides favorites list management functions, and also a set of common user interfaces for selecting a favorite item from a list, adding an item to a favorite list, and removing an item from a favorite list. (page 3, ¶ 31).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Amano and Bedard with the teachings of Wugofski '216 in order to allow a user to deprioritize a selected channel in the schedule of channels to facilitate a user keeping an up-to-date list by removing channels he/she may no longer be interested in viewing.

Allowable Subject Matter

15. Claims 13, 31, and 49 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

16. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2623

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

17. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

Art Unit: 2623

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelopment.		United States Postal Service with
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450		
on (Date)		
Typed or printed name of person signing this ce		_
Signature:	<u>.</u>	
Registration Number:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·
Certificate of Transmission		•
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being Trademark Office, Fax No. () (Date)		
Typed or printed name of person signing this ce		
Signature:		
Registration Number:	· · ·	
Trogical difference in the control of the control o		_

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

Art Unit: 2623

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dominic D. Saltarelli whose telephone number is (571) 272-7302. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached on (571) 272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DS

JOHN MILLEH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600